

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,487	05/23/2001	Jens Wildhagen	450117-03309	9332
20999	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			MUNOZ, GUILLERMO	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2637	7
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/863,487	WILDHAGEN, JENS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Guillermo Munoz	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	May 2001.				
	· <u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
 7)⊠ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	Vor election requirement				
are subject to restriction and	nor election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2001</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary/PTO.412\			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(98) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 7			

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 2a should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not limited to a single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is objected to for including references to the claims, for example page 3, lines 27-29 reference independent claim 8. The specification is objected to for including German language in the

Art Unit: 2637

description, for example page 2, line 11. It is suggested an English translation be provided for the German phrase.

The specification is objected to for not including section headings as follows.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kale et al. in view of Bolle

Regarding claim 1, Kale et al. teach all the claimed limitations of claim 1 " a structure... at least one multiplier" in claim 1 as follows. The allpass filter of order x is shown in figures 8(a) and 8(b), where x is interpreted to be 1 for figure 8(a) and 2 for figure 8(b) on page 166. The at least one multiplier is shown in figures 8(a) and 8(b). Additionally, the phrase "a sampling rate $f_{s'} = f_{s'}N$, with f_{s} being the sampling rate of the input signal (t(k))" is interpreted to mean the allpass filter operates at a rate equal to $f_{s'}N$. Kale et al. fails to teach the allpass filter operating at the rate of $f_{s'}N$, however, Kale et al. teach the allpass filter can be used for a plurality of data conversion processes, note page 165, left column, lines 35-38.

Bolle teaches an implementation of a polyphase allpass filter as a complex baseband converter. The polyphase allpass filter is clocked at a frequency = F/N, where F is rate at which data is sampled, note Fig. 1, elements 1 and 13, and N is the number of filters connected in parallel, note page 5, lines 12-14.

Art Unit: 2637

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Kale et al.'s allpass filter building blocks as Bolle's polyphase filter, since Bolle suggest, on page 3, lines 1-3, that the use of all-pass filters as a polyphase filter would be well suited to this type of signal processing.

Regarding claim 2, Kale et al. further teach the claimed subject matter "coefficients" in Fig 8(b) elements c1 and c2.

Regarding claim 3, Kale et al. further teach the claimed subject matter "a first delay element...in case x" as follows. The first and second delay elements are shown in figure 8(a), where N is equal to 2. The first adder is shown receiving input of the first delay element. The first subtractor is shown receiving input of the second delay element. The first multiplier is shown receiving coefficient c₁ as input.

Regarding claim 4, Kale et al. further teach the claimed subject matter "a second adder...in case x" as follows. The third delay element is shown in figure 8(b), where N is equal to 4. The second adder is shown receiving input from second multiplier. The second subtractor is shown receiving input of the third delay element. The second multiplier is shown receiving coefficient c₂ as input.

Regarding claim 7, Bolle further teaches the claimed subject matter "Time multiplex" and "clock frequency" in figure 1, elements 4, 5 and 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2637

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chalmers.

Regarding claim 8, Chalmers teach an IQ generator having the in-phase and quadrature signals obtained prior to polyphase filtering, note Fig. 5(a). Chalmers teach a multiplier 510 for multiplying a baseband signal prior to polyphase filtering, note Fig. 5(a), elements 508, 510 and 304.

Regarding claim 10, see claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claim 1, "delay elements with a delay 1" in lines 3-4; "wherein all delay elements with a delay 1 are replaced by delay elements with a delay N" in lines 4-5.

The stated limitations render the claim indefinite for failing to clearly point out the criteria required for delay elements to switch from a delay 1 to a delay N.

Additionally, claim 1 comprises the following characteristic: "a sampling rate $f_{s'} = f_s/N$, with f_s being the sampling rate of the input signal (t(k))" in lines 6-7, however, claim 1 fails to attribute the preceding characteristic to a particular element in claim 1, i.e. the multiplier element.

Regarding claim 3, the term " α aak" in line 10, is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not defined in the claim(s) and is not enabled by the disclosure. It is suggested the term " α aak" be replace with the term — α (k)—.

Claims 2 and 4-7 is dependent on rejected claim 1, and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1-10 need to be rewritten in such a way as to improve the claim language. For instance, claim 8 can be improved by rewriting the same as follows:

—8. An IQ-generator comprising:

a multiplier for multiplying an incoming sampled bandpass signal s(k) by a signal $A(k)=(-1)^{floor(k/N)}$ and outputting a signal t(k); and

Art Unit: 2637

a polyphase filter having N branch allpass filters (22) of order x•N, having an input coupled to the output of said multiplier.—

Claims 1, 7, 8, 9 and 10 are objected to because the claims include variables not defined in the claim language.

Regarding claim 1, the variables "x", "N", "delay 1", and "k" are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not defined in the claim.

Regarding claim 7, the variable "a" is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not defined in the claim.

Regarding claim 8, the variables "k", "A(k)", "floor", "N", and "x" are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not defined in the claim.

Regarding claim 9, the variables "f_o", "f_s", and "n" are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not defined in the claim.

Regarding claim 10, see claim 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guillermo Munoz whose telephone number is 703-305-4224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30a.m-4:30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 703-308-7728. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2637

Page 9

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GM

July 6, 2004

Bullerus Muz

JEAN B. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/22/04